

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,072	11/12/2003	Nicolas J. Moll	10003976-4	6364
75	90 05/18/2006		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Intellectual Property Administration Legal Department, DL429			FARAHANI, DANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599				
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/706,072	MOLL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dana Farahani	2891			
The M	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENI WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respor	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	<u>arch 2006</u> .				
′ <u> </u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	laims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. ne above claim(s) is/are withdraw s) is/are allowed. s) 1-21 is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Pape	ers					
10)∭ The dra Applicar Replace	cification is objected to by the Examiner wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept may not request that any objection to the offenent drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 38	5 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknow a) All 1. 2. 0 3. 0	ledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior Explication from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) X Information Dis	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ail Date 11/12/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/706,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over High Performance InP/GaAsSb/InP DHBTs With Heavily Doped Base Layers, a paper submitted by applicants in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted to the Office on 11/12/03, by Bolognesi et al., hereinafter the primary reference, a previously cited referenced.

Regarding claims 1, 8, 10, 12, 19 and 21, the reference discloses in figure 1, a heterojunction bipolar transistor (HBT) comprising:

a collector;

an emitter; and

a base located between the collector and the emitter, the base including a layer of gallium arsenide antimonide (GaAsSb) (see page 13, the last paragraph) less than 49 nanometers (nm) thick, and is carbon doped.

The primary reference does not expressly state the base doping concentration is greater than 2.5 \* 10^20.

The primary reference discloses a base doping of 2.5 \* 10^20 (see the above mentioned portion of the reference), further disclosing that a very high base doping concentration produces

excellent sheer resistance values (see page 13, the last full paragraph), further disclosing base concentration greater than 2.5 \* 10^20 produces greater conductivity values (figure 3), which as persons with ordinary skill in the art would appreciate, is a much desired property. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the base doping concentration greater than 2.5 \* 10^20 in order to increase conductivity and also produce new desired higher values of f MAX (see page 14, the last three lines).

Regarding claims 2-6 and 13-17, the reference discloses arsenic fraction in a range from about 50% to about 51%; about 50% to about 54% to about 56%; and approximately 55% (see the above noted section of the reference).

Regarding claims 7, 11 and 18, The primary reference discloses the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for expressly disclosing the base is less than 20 nm thick. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the base less than 20 nm thick, sine the prior art has a 20 nm thick base, which is negligibly close to a base of less than 20 nm thick.

3. Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the primary reference, as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Stanchina et al., hereinafter Stanchina (US Patent 5,349,201), previously cited.

The primary reference discloses the claimed invention, as discussed above, except for the base layer is doped with Be.

Stanchina discloses at column 3, lines 39-47, an HBT with Be doped GaAsSb base layer provides improved performance over conventional HBTs by increasing the hole mobilities and valence band offset. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2891

the time of the invention to use this material in the HBT structure of the primary reference to increase the performance of the structure.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the previously rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,072 Page 5

Art Unit: 2891

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Farahani

DAVID ZARNERE
PRIMARY EXAMINER